

# From $K_0$ to higher algebraic $K$ -theory

November 9, 2025

## Abstract

Originating from the German word “klasse” (class), both topological and algebraic  $K$ -theory operates under the philosophy that studying certain isomorphism classes of objects over a space/ scheme/ ring/ category is a good way to study that space (/scheme/ ring / category). In the case of topological  $K$ -theory, we’ll consider isomorphism classes of vector bundles over a space  $X$ , whereas in algebraic  $K$ -theory we’ll look at finitely generated projective modules over  $R$ . The Serre-Swan theorem will allow us to reconcile these stories on the level of  $K_0$ . We’ll finish the talk with an algebraic description of  $K_1(R)$  and  $K_2(R)$ , as well as Quillen’s “+” construction for defining higher algebraic  $K$ -groups.

## 1 Introduction

Philosophy of  $K$ -theory: “**The universal invariant**”. In algebraic topology we have functors from spaces to groups which allow us to distinguish between spaces. Similar idea here: often easier to compute some topological properties from the mapped rings than from the original spaces/ schemes/ categories. Two main components to algebraic  $K$ -theory:

1. Classical: the Grothendieck group  $K_0$  of a category (algebra).
2. Higher algebraic  $K$ -theory (topological/ homological).

Slogan: Algebraic  $K$ -theory deals with *linear algebra over general rings  $R$*  instead of over fields. Associate to  $R$  a sequence of abelian groups  $K_i(R)$  whose behavior resembles a “homology theory,” e.g. we have long exact sequences of pairs and functoriality.

Algebraic  $K$ -theory has wide-reaching connections to many fields. For example:

1. The class group of a number field  $K$  (measures failure of unique factorization of ideals in the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ) is approximately  $K_0(\mathcal{O}_K)$  (it’s actually  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_K)$ ).
2. “Whitehead torsion” in topology (measures obstructions to  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a homotopy equivalence between CW complexes being a *simple* homotopy equivalence) is essentially an element in  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(Y))$ .
3. Higher  $K$ -groups of fields and rings of integers are related to special values of  $L$ -functions.

## 2 $K_0$

### 2.1 $K_0$ of a top space

$K$ -theory traces its origins to *topological  $K$ -theory*.

“Topological  $K$ -theory is the idea that the set of bundles that a space admits is a good invariant of the space.”

**Definition 2.1** (VB( $X$ )). Let  $X$  be a paracompact space (every open cover has a locally finite refinement – i.e. can find a refinement (more open sets, containment condition) such that each point in  $X$  has a neighborhood  $U_x$  intersecting only finitely many guys in the refinement. Examples: compact spaces, Euclidean space...). The sets  $\text{VB}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$  and  $\text{VB}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$  are isomorphism classes of real/ complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

These form an **abelian monoid** under Whitney sum (pullback bundle under diagonal map  $\delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ , fiberwise direct sum of fibers. i.e., we have  $E \times F \rightarrow X \times X$  the product of the bundles, then take the direct sum  $E \oplus F := \delta^*(E \times F)$ ). Under tensor product, these form a commutative semiring. So we can **group complete!** (See next section.) Form  $\text{KO}(X)$ ,  $\text{KU}(X)$ , identity is  $1 = [T^1]$  (trivial bundle).

Higher topological  $K$  groups are defined by taking suspensions:

**Definition 2.2.**  $K^{-n}(X) := K(\Sigma^n X)$ .

(Negative indices indicate that coboundary maps increase dimension.)

## 2.2 Group completion of a monoid

**Definition 2.3** (Abelian monoid). An **abelian monoid** is a set  $M$  together with an associative, commutative group operation  $+$  and “additive identity”  $0$ . (Group without inverses.)

A monoid map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is a *set map* such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(m + m') = f(m) + f(m')$ .

**Example 2.4.**  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

**Example 2.5.** If  $A$  is an abelian group, any additively closed subset of  $A$  containing  $0$  is an abelian monoid.

**Definition 2.6** (Group completion). Given an abelian monoid  $M$ , the **group completion** is an **abelian group**  $M^{-1}M$  (formally “adding in inverses”) together with a monoid map  $[\ ] : M \rightarrow M^{-1}M$ , universal in the sense that, for every abelian group  $A$  and every monoid map  $\alpha : M \rightarrow A$ , there exists a unique abelian group homomorphism  $\tilde{\alpha} : M^{-1}M \rightarrow A$  such that  $\tilde{\alpha}([m]) = \alpha(m)$  for all  $m \in M$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{[\ ]} & M^{-1}M \\ & \searrow \alpha & \swarrow \exists! \tilde{\alpha} \\ & A & \end{array}$$

**Example 2.7.** The group completion of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Prop 2.8.** Every abelian monoid has a group completion.

*Proof.* Take the free abelian group  $F(M)$  on symbols  $[m]$  for  $m \in M$ , then factor out by the subgroup  $R(M)$  generated by the relations  $[m + n] - [m] - [n]$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.9** ( $K_0(X)$ ). The **Grothendieck group**  $K_0(X)$  for  $X$  a paracompact top space is the **group completion** of  $\text{VB}(X)$ .

**Example 2.10** ( $X = *$ ). If  $*$  is a 1-point space,  $K(*) = \mathbb{Z}$ . **Why?** The iso classes of real vector bundles over the point are all the trivial bundles  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \{*\}$ . Determined by dimension. So  $\text{VB}(X) \cong \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $K_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 2.11** (Contravariance of  $K$ ). The functor  $K(X)$  is contravariant in  $X$ : if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, the induced bundles construction  $E \rightarrow f^*E$  yields a function  $f^* : \text{VB}(Y) \rightarrow \text{VB}(X)$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** *The universal map  $X \rightarrow *$  induces a ring hom from  $\mathbb{Z} = K(*)$  into  $K(X)$ . Sends  $n > 0$  to the class of the trivial bundle  $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n \times X$  over  $X$ . If  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then any point of  $X$  yields a map  $* \rightarrow X$  splitting the universal map  $X \rightarrow *$ . So functoriality implies we get a map splitting  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K(X)$ . So  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a direct summand of  $K(X)$  when  $X \neq \emptyset$ !*

*Remark 2.13.* By universality, if  $M \rightarrow N$  is a monoid map, the map  $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow N^{-1}N$  extends uniquely to a group homomorphism  $M^{-1}M \rightarrow N^{-1}N$ , so group completion is a functor Abelian Monoids  $\rightarrow$  Ab. (Turns out this functor is left adjoint to the forgetful functor, so  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ab Mon}}(M, A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}}(M^{-1}M, A)$ .)

**Prop 2.14** (Characterization of the group completion). (a) *Elements of  $M^{-1}M$  are of the form  $[m] - [n]$  for  $m, n \in M$ .*

(b) *If  $m, n \in M$ , then  $[m] = [n]$  in  $M^{-1}M \iff m + p = n + p$  for some  $p \in M$ .*

(c) *The monoid map  $M \times M \rightarrow M^{-1}M$  sending  $(m, n) \mapsto [m] - [n]$  is surjective.*

(d) *( $\implies M^{-1}M$  is the quotient of  $M \times M$  by  $(m, n) \sim (m + p, n + p)$ .)*

**Question:** Does  $M$  inject into  $M^{-1}M$  via  $m \mapsto [m]$ ? Recall:  $[m] = [n]$  in  $M^{-1}M$  if and only if there exists  $p \in M$  such that  $m + p = n + p$ . So if we can *cancel*  $p$ , then the answer is yes. If we can always cancel  $p$  we call  $M$  a cancellation monoid. ( $\mathbb{N}_0$ )

**Definition 2.15** (Semiring). An abelian monoid which also has an associative product which distributes over  $+$ , and a 2-sided multiplicative identity 1 (so a ring (not nec. commutative) but without subtraction!)

*Remark 2.16.* The group completion of a semiring is a ring.

**Definition 2.17.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. The set  $[X, \mathbb{N}]$  is the set of continuous maps  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . This is a semiring under pointwise addition and multiplication. The group completion is  $[X, \mathbb{Z}]$ .

**Example 2.18** (Representation ring). Example from rep theory: Let  $G$  be a finite group, and let  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  be the set of finite-dimensional reps  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  up to isomorphism. This is an abelian monoid under  $\oplus$ . **Maschke's Theorem**  $\implies \mathbb{C}G$  (group algebra) is semisimple and  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \cong \mathbb{N}^r$ , with  $r = \#$  conjugacy classes of  $G$  (have a bijection between irreps and conjugacy classes).

So the group completion  $R(G)$  of  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  as an abelian group. We also have a semiring structure on  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$  via tensor product. So  $R(G)$  is a commutative ring, the “representation ring” of  $G$ .

### 2.3 $K_0$ of a ring

Let  $R$  be a ring.

**Definition 2.19** ( $P(R)$ ). Let  $P(R)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of f.g. projective  $R$ -mods, together with  $\oplus$  and 0. This forms an abelian monoid.

**Definition 2.20** (Grothendieck group  $K_0(R)$ ).  $K_0(R)$  is the group completion  $P^{-1}P$  of  $P(R)$ . If  $R$  is commutative,  $K_0(R)$  is a commutative ring with  $1 = [R]$ , since  $P(R)$  is a commutative semiring with product  $\otimes_R$ . (We know  $P \otimes_R Q \cong Q \otimes_R P$  and  $P \otimes_R R \cong P$ . If  $P, Q$  are f.g. projective modules, so is  $P \otimes_R Q$ .)

**Example 2.21** (Grothendieck group of fields, local rings, and PIDs). Let  $k$  be a field (or division ring= field without commutativity).

What's  $P(k)$ ? F.g. projective  $k$ -modules are just finite-dim. vector spaces, and isomorphism classes of  $k$ -vector spaces are determined by their dimension, so  $P(k) \cong \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $K_0(k) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Same argument shows that  $K_0(R) = \mathbb{Z}$  for local rings  $R$  (f.g. projectives are free, so determined up to iso by rank). Same argument shows that  $K_0(R) = \mathbb{Z}$  for  $R$  a PID (f.g. projectives over PIDs are free of finite rank, determined by rank).

**Remark 2.22.** Want to restrict to f.g. projectives because of the **Eilenberg swindle**: if  $R^\infty$  (free  $R$ -mod on countably infinite basis) is to be included, then  $P \oplus R^\infty \cong R^\infty$  for  $P$  f.g. would yield  $[P] = 0$  for all f.g. projective  $R$ -mods  $P$ , so  $K_0(R) = 0$ . Boring!!

**Question:** How to reconcile  $K_0(R)$  with  $K_0(X)$ ?

**Theorem 2.23** (Serre-Swan Theorem). *Slogan:* “projective modules over commutative rings are like vector bundles on compact spaces.” Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and  $C(X)$  the ring of continuous real (complex)-valued functions on  $X$ . The category of real (complex) vector bundles on  $X$  is equivalent to the category of finitely generated projective modules over  $C(X)$ .

The actual correspondence: Have a functor  $\Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma : \text{VB}_{\mathbb{C}}(X) &\rightarrow \text{ProjMod}(C(X)) \\ E &\mapsto \Gamma(X, E)\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Gamma(X, E)$  is a  $C(X)$ -module of **sections**. Swan's theorem says this functor is an equivalence of categories. ( $\Gamma(X, E)$  is the space of global sections  $s : X \rightarrow E$ .)

## 2.4 Brief detour: connection with the Picard Group

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring.

**Definition 2.24** (Rank). The rank of a f.g.  $R$ -module  $M$  at a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \leq R$  is  $\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}} M := \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})}(M \otimes_R k(\mathfrak{p}))$  (where  $k(\mathfrak{p}) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ). Since  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong k(\mathfrak{p})^{\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)}$ ,  $\text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$  is the minimal number of generators of  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Remark 2.25.** If  $P$  is a fg *projective*  $R$ -mod, then  $\text{rk}(P) : \mathfrak{p} \mapsto \text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}}(P)$  is a **continuous** function from the topological space  $\text{Spec}(R)$  (Zariski topology) to the discrete top space  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . (**Why?** Turns out:  $P_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (R_{\mathfrak{p}})^n$  for some  $n \geq 0$  and there exists some  $s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $P_{\mathfrak{p}'} \cong (R_{\mathfrak{p}'})^n$  for all  $\mathfrak{p}'$  not containing  $s$  (so the preimage of rank  $n$  is a union of  $D(s)$ )).

**Definition 2.26** (Constant ranks). Say that  $P$  has constant rank if  $n = \text{rk}_{\mathfrak{p}}(P)$  is independent of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Example 2.27.** If  $\text{Spec } R$  is *topologically connected* (for example, if  $R$  is an integral domain), then every continuous map  $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is constant, so every fg projective  $R$ -mod has constant rank.

**Definition 2.28** (Algebraic line bundle). An algebraic line bundle  $L$  over a comm ring  $R$  is a fg projective  $R$ -mod of constant rank 1.

Turns out: tensor product of line bundles is a line bundle:  $(L \otimes_R M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong L_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has rank 1 (rank multiplies over tensor products).

**Definition 2.29** (Picard group).  $\text{Pic}(R)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of algebraic line bundles over  $R$ . The tensor product endows  $\text{Pic}(R)$  with the structure of an abelian group,  $[R]$  is the identity, and inverses

are given by dual modules  $\text{Hom}_R(P, R)$ : has rank 1 when  $P$  has rank 1, and f.g. / projective because  $P$  is. The evaluation map

$$\begin{aligned} P \otimes_R \check{P} &\xrightarrow{\text{eval}} R \\ p \otimes f &\mapsto f(p) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism since being an isomorphism is a *local property*: If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime, then  $(L \otimes_R \check{L})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong L_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \check{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an isomorphism since  $L_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  being rank 1?

#### 2.4.1 Determinant line bundle

**Definition 2.30** ( $\det P$ ). Let  $\det(P) = \bigwedge^n P$  where  $P$  is a projective module of constant rank  $n$ . ( $\bigwedge^n P = P \otimes \cdots \otimes P / \langle m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_n : m_i = m_j \text{ for some } i \neq j \rangle$ .) This is a line bundle since it's projective, finitely generated, and of constant rank 1. ( $\bigwedge^k P$  has constant rank  $\binom{n}{k}$ .)

**Prop 2.31.**  $\det : K_0(R) \twoheadrightarrow P_0(R)$  group homomorphism. (Suffices to show, by universal property of  $K_0$ ,  $\det(P \otimes_R Q) \cong \det(P) \otimes_R \det(Q)$ .) So Picard group is a quotient of the Grothendieck group!

### 3 Higher K-theory

Leads us to the **question**: how to define higher  $K$ -groups?

#### 3.1 Whitehead group $K_1(R)$

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with unit. Include

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GL}_n(R) &\rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}(R) \\ g &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\text{GL}(R)$ , take union of  $\text{GL}_1(R) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_2(R) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_3(R) \hookrightarrow \cdots$ .

**Definition 3.1.**  $K_1(R) = \text{GL}(R)/[\text{GL}(R), \text{GL}(R)]$  (abelianizing  $\text{GL}(R)$ ). By universal property of abelianization, any homomorphism  $\text{GL}(R) \rightarrow A$  (with  $A$  abelian) factors through  $K_1(R)$ .

**Definition 3.2** (Steinberg module). Let  $n \geq 3$ . The Steinberg module  $\text{St}_n(R)$  of a ring  $R$ . Group defined by generators  $x_{ij}(r)$  with  $i, j$  a pair of distinct integers  $\leq 1$  and  $n$ , and  $r \in R$  subject to Steinberg relations

(a)  $x_{ij}(r)x_{ij}(s) = x_{ij}(r+s)$ .

(b)  $[x_{ij}(r), x_{k\ell}(s)] = \begin{cases} 1 & j \neq k, i \neq \ell \\ x_{i\ell}(rs) & j = k, i \neq \ell \text{ (smash)} \\ x_{kj}(-sr) & j \neq k, i = \ell \end{cases}$

**Note that** these relations are satisfied by elementary matrices  $e_{ij}(r)$  in  $\text{GL}_n(R)$  (this matrix has a 1 in every diagonal spot, has an  $r$  in spot  $(i, j)$  ( $i \neq j$ ), 0 elsewhere).

Let  $E_n(R)$  be the subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(R)$  generated by these elementary matrices. Turns out:

**Prop 3.3.** For  $n \geq 3$  and  $R$  commutative,  $E_n(R) \trianglelefteq \text{GL}_n(R)$ .

**Lemma 3.4** (Whitehead's Lemma).  $E(R)$  is the commutator subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(R)$ . So  $K_1(R) = \mathrm{GL}(R)/E(R)$ .

Since Steinberg relations are satisfied by the elementary matrices, we have a canonical surjection

$$\phi_n : \mathrm{St}_n(R) \twoheadrightarrow E_n(R).$$

We have an injection  $\mathrm{St}_n(R) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{St}_{n+1}(R)$ , can write  $\mathrm{St}(R) = \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathrm{St}_n(R) = \bigsqcup \mathrm{St}_n(R)/\sim$ . By stabilizing  $\phi_n$ , we get a surjection  $\phi : \mathrm{St}(R) \rightarrow E(R)$ . Define  $K_2(R) = \ker \phi$ . This yields an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow K_2(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{St}(R) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathrm{GL}(R) \rightarrow K_1(R) \rightarrow 1.$$

**Turns out:**  $K_2(R) = Z(\mathrm{St}(R))$ .

### 3.2 Topological tie-up

We've **partially answered the question**: We defined  $K_1(R)$  and  $K_2(R)$  algebraically. What does this have to do with topology? What does this have to do with  $K_0$ ?

**Definition 3.5** (Classifying space). For a group  $G$ , construct a connected topological space  $\mathrm{BG}$  whose  $\pi_1 = G$  and higher homotopy groups vanish.  $(H_*(G; M) \cong H_*(\mathrm{BG}; M)$  for  $M$  a  $G$ -module, homology with local coefficients).

**Definition 3.6** (Quillen's + construction). Take  $G = \mathrm{GL}(R)$ . Obtain the space  $B\mathrm{GL}(R)$ . Construct  $B\mathrm{GL}(R)^+$ , a CW complex  $X$  which has a distinguished map  $B\mathrm{GL}(R) \rightarrow B\mathrm{GL}(R)^+$  such that

- (a)  $\pi_1(B\mathrm{GL}(R)^+) \cong K_1(R)$  (the abelianization of  $\mathrm{GL}(R)$ ), and the natural map from  $\mathrm{GL}(R) = \pi_1(B\mathrm{GL}(R))$  to  $\pi_1(B\mathrm{GL}(R)^+)$  is surjective with kernel  $E(R)$ .
- (b)  $H_*(B\mathrm{GL}(R); M) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(B\mathrm{GL}(R)^+; M)$  for every  $K_1(R)$ -module  $M$ .

We can then define

$$K_n(R) := \pi_n(B\mathrm{GL}(R)^+).$$

This yields  $K_1(R), K_2(R)$  as defined before! Would need to check it also gives  $K_0(R)!!$